



State of the District Address



PARKSTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 2016



State of the District Address



- **Student Achievement**
 - School District Data
- **School Finance**
 - Overview of Funds
 - Special Education
 - Capital Outlay
 - General Fund
 - Other funds

 - **Other matters**



State of South Dakota



- State of South Dakota Report Card
- <http://doe.sd.gov/reportcard/>
 - ✦ School Performance Index - The overall system continues to be based on a 100-point School Performance Index (SPI), consisting of multiple indicators to measure a school's performance. These indicators are different at the elementary and high school levels.

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	
Parkston Elementary	67.52*		
Parkston High School	68.66		
Parkston Junior High	53.35		
New Elm Springs	35.7		
Old Elm Springs	31.31		

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	Difference
Parkston Elementary	67.52*	70.24*	2.72
Parkston High School	68.66		
Parkston Junior High	53.35		
New Elm Springs	35.7		
Old Elm Springs	31.31		

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	Difference
Parkston Elementary	67.52	70.24	2.72
Parkston High School	68.66	78.53	9.87
Parkston Junior High	53.35		
New Elm Springs	35.7		
Old Elm Springs	31.31		

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	Difference
Parkston Elementary	67.52	70.24	2.72
Parkston High School	68.66	78.53	9.87
Parkston Junior High	53.35	54.86	1.51
New Elm Springs	35.7		
Old Elm Springs	31.31		

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	Difference
Parkston Elementary	67.52	70.24	2.72
Parkston High School	68.66	78.53	9.87
Parkston Junior High	53.35	54.86	1.51
New Elm Springs	35.7	41.9	6.2
Old Elm Springs	31.31		

SPI Comparison



	2014-15	2015-2016	Difference
Parkston Elementary	67.52	70.24	2.72
Parkston High School	68.66	78.53	9.87
Parkston Junior High	53.35	54.86	1.51
New Elm Springs	35.7	41.9	6.2
Old Elm Springs	31.31	53.23	21.92

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98		
Parkston High School	61.9		
Parkston Junior High	49.25		
New Elm Springs	35.29		
Old Elm Springs	37.71		

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98	69.92	8.94
Parkston High School	61.9		
Parkston Junior High	49.25		
New Elm Springs	35.29		
Old Elm Springs	37.71		

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98	69.92	8.94
Parkston High School	61.9	68.42	6.52
Parkston Junior High	49.25		
New Elm Springs	35.29		
Old Elm Springs	37.71		

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98	69.92	8.94
Parkston High School	61.9	68.42	6.52
Parkston Junior High	49.25	46.15	-3.1
New Elm Springs	35.29		
Old Elm Springs	37.71		

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98	69.92	8.94
Parkston High School	61.9	68.42	6.52
Parkston Junior High	49.25	46.15	-3.1
New Elm Springs	35.29	50	14.71
Old Elm Springs	37.71		

ELA Proficiency



ELA Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	60.98	69.92	8.94
Parkston High School	61.9	68.42	6.52
Parkston Junior High	49.25	46.15	-3.1
New Elm Springs	35.29	50	14.71
Old Elm Springs	37.71	50	12.29

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	62.6		
Parkston High School	45.24		
Parkston Junior High	40.3		
New Elm Springs	5.88		
Old Elm Springs	7.14		

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	62.6	69.17	6.57
Parkston High School	45.24		
Parkston Junior High	40.3		
New Elm Springs	5.88		
Old Elm Springs	7.14		

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
PES	62.6	69.17	6.57
PHS	45.24	57.89	12.65
PJH	40.3		
NES	5.88		
OES	7.14		

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	62.6	69.17	6.57
Parkston High School	45.24	57.89	12.65
Parkston Junior High	40.3	43.08	2.78
New Elm Springs	5.88		
Old Elm Springs	7.14		

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	62.6	69.17	6.57
Parkston High School	45.24	57.89	12.65
Parkston Junior High	40.3	43.08	2.78
New Elm Springs	5.88	21.43	15.55
Old Elm Springs	7.14		

Math Proficiency



Math Prof	2014-15	2015-16	Difference
Parkston Elementary	62.6	69.17	6.57
Parkston High School	45.24	57.89	12.65
Parkston Junior High	40.3	43.08	2.78
New Elm Springs	5.88	21.43	15.55
Old Elm Springs	7.14	35.71	28.57



Leading the Way



Parkston Elementary had the 29 highest SPI score out of 475 elementary and middle schools!

* Parkston Elementary was identified as a “Status” school each of the last two years. This means that they have scored in the top 10% in the state!

Parkston High School had the 19th highest SPI score out of 155 high schools!



Academics



- More detailed results of our school district's testing are currently being prepared. Parents will be notified during parent teacher conferences (Thursday night) how they can access the State, District and School Report card.



Academics



- **Access to the report card**
 - ✦ A hard copy can be viewed in the following locations
 - Parkston Elementary Office
 - Parkston High School Office
 - New Elm School
 - Old Elm School
 - Parkston Public Library



Academics



- Parents will also be given the option to have the full report emailed to them or;
- A link will be made available on the Parkston School District Home Page; <http://www.parkston.k12.sd.us/>
- Another link to the report card is the State Department of Education website. <http://doe.sd.gov/reportcard/>
- Anyone having questions, or are unable to access the report card via one of the aforementioned means, can call the school and speak with either of the building principals.



Academic Challenges



- We have made nice gains but need to always look to improve.
- Professional development day was used for teachers to analyze their test data to determine what things we need to do differently.
- Keeping teachers current
- Finding quality staffing



School Finance



- School finance is composed of a number of funds, each with a specific purpose and each with restrictions placed upon how funds can be used.
- **School Finance**
 - Special Education
 - Capital Outlay
 - General Fund
 - Pension Fund
 - Bond Redemption Fund



General Fund



- Revenue of \$3,623,164
- Expenditures of \$3,646,135
- Ended the year with a General Fund Balance of \$1,303,111



Special Education



- Revenue - \$657,578
- Expenditures - \$675,303
- Fund Balance - \$137,890



Special Education



- It is hard to predict what will happen in the SPED Fund.
- One student moving into the school district can throw any projected budget into the closet.
- For the past few years, we have taxed at the minimum levy allowed by the State and still be eligible for State Aid. In other words, if we taxed any lower, we would not have been eligible for state aid.



Capital Outlay



- Used for capital improvements
- Revenue (All Local) \$629,043
- Expenditures - \$506,980
- Fund Balance - \$1,230,880
- This fund balance is misleading because the total includes \$525,000 that was loaned to the Bond Redemption fund so that we could pay the bonds off early and save \$20,000+ in interest payments.

Capital Outlay



- Thus our cash balance in capital outlay is right at \$700,000.



Pension Fund



- Used for retirement expenses – This levy has been eliminated by the legislature. Thus, the district will no longer assess a pension fund levy.
- Fund Balance - \$269,377



Bond Redemption Fund



- Parkston Elementary
- As mentioned earlier, by using the bond redemption money on hand along with capital outlay reserves, we were able to pay the bond off two year early, saving \$20,000+ in interest payments.
- Thus, this levy will also no longer appear on your tax statements as of January 1, 2017.

Federal Funds



- We receive a number of federal dollars. They include monies for:
 - Title I - \$170,586
 - Title II - \$45,919
 - SPED = \$120,324

Tax Request Comparison



- The latest state-wide data that I could find was that for taxes payable for 2015.
- The following slides will show where Parkston School requests compare to other schools in the state.
- I must remind you that the number you will see include a bond redemption levy and pension levy, both of which we no longer assess.

Levy Comparison



- Ag
- Owner Occupied
- Non Ag
- **Data is taken from the Department of Education.**
- **<http://doe.sd.gov/ofm/statdigest.aspx>**
 - ✦ **Found at bottom of page under, “Profile Data”**

Levy Comparison By Year



	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Ag	\$ 5.83	\$ 4.99	\$ 4.32
Total Owner Occupied (OO)	\$ 8.30	\$ 7.49	\$ 6.43
Total Non Ag	\$ 13.16	\$ 12.15	\$ 10.38

Other Happenings



- We are in second year of the workforce development grant that our district authored.
 - ✦ Provides a career counselor to Parkston and other area schools
 - ✦ Provides reimbursement for transportation cost to MCTEA for us and area schools
 - Automotive Technology
 - Engineering Technology
 - Construction Technology
- So far this year we have 40 students taking 65 dual credit classes which equates to 200 college credit hours. These courses provide the student with high school and college credit. Students receive the college credit at a huge discount, saving families thousands of dollars
- We are having conversations with the Tripp Delmont School District regarding the possible reorganization. These discussion are ongoing.

Other Happenings



- **Teacher pay was the discussion of the last legislative session. While the final numbers are not in from all schools, we have an average teacher salary of \$44,691. Last year the average teacher salary was \$40,273.**
- **Steering Committee – Our current stadium and track are in need of repair and renovation. We are wanting to put a steering committee together to explore the options. The good news is, we have money set aside in our capital outlay account to cover these expenses.**
- **Currently constructing a Greenhouse for our Agriculture and science classes. We received \$30,000 in donations to help with this project.**

Recommendations to the Board



1. Maintain our high level of academic expectations.
2. Organize a steering committee to look at look at our stadium and track facilities.
3. Continue to keep our wages competitive. We have had a hard time finding employees, like many businesses.
4. Continue working with the community to grow a bigger and stronger Parkston Area.
5. Continue working with the Tripp Delmont School District in their efforts to reorganize.

Recommendations to the Board



- **Legislative discussions**
 - Better define conflict of interest law, too broad and wide reaching
 - Funding for early childhood education
 - Continue funding new formula



State of the District Address



PARKSTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 2016